PRECESSION ENHANCED ELECTRON DIFFRACTION APPLICATIONS FOR TEM

Alan Robins Director of Business Development NanoMEGAS













Stavros Nicolopoulos Thanos Galanis Vrettos Stelliou Spirros Pantaretos



PRECESSION ENHANCED ELECTRON DIFFRACTION APPLICATIONS FOR TEM

Alanos Robinopolos Director of Business Developement NanoMEGAS





ELECTRON DIFFRACTION AND PIXELATED DETECTORS





Electron diffraction : Dynamical effects





Electron diffraction : Dynamical effects

Dynamical scattering Courtesy Dr. E.Mugnaioli Univ of Sienna



Vincent-Midgley Precession Method



Non-precessed

Precessed





Orientation / phase mapping & Direct Detection Detectors



ASTAR: TEM Orientation and Phase Mapping

> 180 installations world-wide in TEM



ASTAR : EBSD type tool for TEM



ASTAR : Automated Crystal Orientation Mapping



ASTAR : EBSD type tool for TEM



Identification example : nanocrystalline Cu



Diffraction pattern (nanocrystalline cubic copper)

Correlation index map

For a given ED pattern, the correlation index map is calculated for all possible template orientations and plotted on a map that represents a portion of the stereographic projection (reduced to a double standard triangle). That resulting map reveals the most probable orientation for every experimental spot ED pattern (in this case ED pattern is found to be close to 110 ZA orientation)



ASTAR: 1 nm spatial resolution orientation map

Multi-twinned gold particles (JEOL ARM 200)



ASTAR : EBSD type tool for TEM



ASTAR: Spatial Resolution



From

1 nm to 500 nm

Jeol 2100 FEG-ASTAR

Seoul Nat University

Courtesy Yong -Hwa Oh Muriel Veron Grenoble INP

> Sample of Cu grains of different sizes





NanoMEGAS - TEM Cs corr / high end microscopes

Precession Diffraction Solutions Orientation Imaging



mapping

TITAN HIGH Base

NanoMEGAS

Scan control

Flucam contr



Strain mapping



ARM 300 Double Cs

F200





Comparison Stingray AVT with EMSIS camera support at 35 mm port of JEOL 2010F















ASTAR and Direct Detection Medipix III

ASTAR – Topspin Medipix III Merlin Glascow University UK







ASTAR and Direct Detection Medipix III



Ian McLarren et al Submitted for publication







ASTAR : IN SITU EXPERIMENTS



ASTAR - in situ HEATING of nc Pd

Protochips Aduro Device and Heating holder Controller Aduro E-chips Aduro TEM holder MEMS heating device Fast heating rates ~10⁶ K/s \triangleright

➤ Stable





Courtesy Dr. Christian Kübel KIT Germany

ASTAR - in situ HEATING of nc Pd



Christian Kübel, unpublished results

Advanced Tools for electron diffraction

3D DIFFRACTION TOMOGRAPHY AND PIXELATED DETECTORS



3D Diffraction Tomography TEM Precession Electron Diffraction







HREM image and Electron diffraction can be taken from areas as small as 50 nm ; Synchrotron smallest beam size 1-4 μ



The inset shows two crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction and ED ; Purple ellipse represents the 10 \times 4 µm beam of the microfocus X-ray diffraction beamline at ESRF; the yellow dot is four times larger than the diameter of the TEM nanobeam (Lanza et al (2019). IUCrJ, 6, 178-188)

X-Ray peaks broaden with crystals of nm range

L / 8-11

0.4

0.2



0.6

1 nm

3.0

3D Diffraction Tomography



- ✓ complete or almost complete diffraction data to extract unit cell and crystal symmetry
- ✓ conceptually simple, data can be taken with any CCD camera
- solution of structures by direct methods or simulated annealing

R close to 15-35 % : reveal all 3D atomic positions with 5-30 pm precision !



> 300 structures solved with Electron Diffraction (2004 - 2019)





3D Electron Diffraction: The Nanocrystallography Revolution

Mauro Gemmi,^{*†}⁰ Enrico Mugnaioli,[†] Tatiana E. Gorelik,[‡] Ute Kolb,^{§,||} Lukas Palatinus,[⊥] Philippe Boullay,[#]⁰ Sven Hovmöller,[∨] and Jan Pieter Abrahams⁰ •1</sup>

¹Center for Nanotechnology Innovation@NEST, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Piazza S. Silvestro 12, 56127 Pisa, Italy ¹University of Um, Central Facility for Electron Microscopy, Electron Microscopy Group of Materials Science (EMMS), Albert Enstein Alber 11, 80981 Um, Gremany

[§]Institut f
ür Anorganische Chemie und Analytische Chemie, Johannes Gutenberg-Universit
ät, Duesbergweg 10-14, 55128 Mainz, Germany

^{II} Institut für Angewandte Geowissenschaften, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Schnittspahnstraße 9, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany [⊥]Department of Structure Analysis, Institute of Physics of the CAS, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Prague 8, Czechia

⁴CRISMAT, Normandie Université, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS UMR 6508, 6 Bd Maréchal Juin, F-14050 Cedex Caen, France ⁵Inorganic and Structural Chemistry, Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm. Sweden

^OCenter for Cellular Imaging and NanoAnalytics (C–CINA), Biozentrum, Basel University, Mattenstrasse 26, CH-4058 Basel, Switzerland

Department of Biology and Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), CH-5232 Viligen PSI, Switzerland ⁴Leiden Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands

ABSTBACT: Crystallography of sancerystalline materials has wirnesed a true revolution in the part 10 years, thanks to the introduction of protocols for 3D acquisition and analysis of electron difficution data. This method provides single-crystal data of structure solution and refinement quality, allowing the atomic structure determination of those materials that remained hitherto unknown because of their limited crystallinity. Several experimental protocols exits, which share the common idea of sampling a sequence of diffraction patterns while the erystal is titled around a noncrystallographic casis, namely, the goniometer



axis of the transmission electron microscope sample stage. This Outook reviews most important 3D electron diffraction applications for different kinds of samples and problematics, related with both materials and life sciences. Structure refinement including dynamical scattering is also briefly discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Accelerated electrons have been long considered the less promising among the radiation types used in crystallography for attaining diffraction data suitable for atomic structure determination. In fact, the large majority of structural models deposited in crystallographic databases1-5 have been obtained by means of X-ray diffraction, and most of what is left has been derived from neutron diffraction or spectroscopic methods. Although still limited, the use of electron diffraction has grown rapidly over the past decade, mostly due to the introduction of 3D methods for the systematic acquisition and analysis of diffracted intensities. Here, we would like to examine how the use of parallel beam electron diffraction for structure determination has evolved from a mostly qualitative technique, used only by few specialists, to a quantitative approach accessible to a much larger community. To understand the full picture of this (r)evolution, it is

To understand the full picture of this (r)evolution, it is important to focus on the strengths of accelerated electrons for

ACS Publications © XXXX American Chemical Society

crystalography. First, the possibility to have parallel electron probes with a size of a few nonmeters allows collecting diffraction data from sample volumes? or 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the ones suitable for synchrotron microfocused Xray beams. Second, the ability to delver both diffraction and imaging from the same nanovolume allows the combination of receivocal and direct apace information and the experimental determination of crystallographic phases. Third, the strong good signal-to-noise ratio even from very thin samples and an asset identification of light atoms, like lithium and hydrogen, when compared with X-rays.

However, the strong scattering of electrons is also the reason why electron diffraction (ED) was disregarded for many years for structure analysis. The occurrence of multiple scattering

Received: April 17, 2019

DOI:10.10.21/accomtaci.9b00394 ACS Cent. Sci XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX



3D diffraction tomography of Carbamazepine pharmaceutical crystal

Low dose with Timepix $< 0.013 \text{ e} - / \text{\AA}^2 / \text{sec}$









NO CRYO USED Collection time < 3 min

Diffraction tomography in continuous mode at RT



TOLVAPTAN API



Diffraction tomography in stepwise mode at LT





API used for autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD)

Electron Diffraction Obtained Unit Cell and SPG

> a = 7.56 Å b = 38.07 Å c = 8.61 Å β = 108.86° V=2345.02 Å³

> > **SPG: P2**₁/n

Single Crystal X-Ray structure

SPG: P2₁/n





PROTEIN CRYSTAL STRUCTURE - PRECESSION DIFFRACTION TOMOGRAPHY

IUCr





Volume 6 | Part 2 | 1 March 2019



International Union of

CRYSTALLOGRAPHY





research papers



Nanobeam precession-assisted 3D electron diffraction reveals a new polymorph of hen egg-white lysozyme

Arianna Lanza, Eleonora Margheritis, Enrico Mugnaioli, Valentina Cappello, Gianpiero Garau* and Mauro Gemmi*

Received 17 October 2018 Accepted 13 December 2018 Center for Nanotechnology Innovation@NEST, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Piazza San Silvestro 12, 56127 Pisa, Italy. *Correspondence e-mail: gianpiero garau@iit.it, mauro, genmi@iit.it

Edited by E. Bullitt, Boston University School of Medicine, USA

Keywords: macromol ocular crystallography; electron crystallography; nanobeam pressure-assisted electron diffraction; monoclini c lysozyme: radiation damage.

PDB references: hen egg-white lysozyme, X-ray microfocus diffraction structure, 6ht2; electron diffraction structure, 6hu5

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at www.iucrj.org Recent advances in 3D electron diffraction have allowed the structure determination of several model proteins from submicrometric crystals, the unit-cell parameters and structures of which could be immediately validated by known models previously obtained by X-ray crystallography. Here, the first new protein structure determined by 3D electron diffraction data is presented: a previously unobserved polymorph of hen egg-white lysozyme. This form, with unit-cell parameters a = 31.9, b = 54.4, c = 71.8 Å, $\beta = 98.8^{\circ}$, grows as needleshaped submicrometric crystals simply by vapor diffusion starting from previously reported crystallization conditions. Remarkably, the data were collected using a low-dose stepwise experimental setup consisting of a precession-assisted nanobeam of ~150 nm, which has never previously been applied for solving protein structures. The crystal structure was additionally validated using X-ray synchrotron-radiation sources by both powder diffraction and single-crystal micro-diffraction. 3D electron diffraction can be used for the structural characterization of submicrometric macromolecular crystals and is able to identify novel protein polymorphs that are hardly visible in conventional X-ray diffraction experiments Additionally, the analysis, which was performed on both nanocrystals and microcrystals from the same crystallization drop, suggests that an integrated view from 3D electron diffraction and X-ray microfocus diffraction can be applied to obtain insights into the molecular dynamics during protein crystal growth.

1. Introduction

In order to address many challenging scientific issues concerning structural biology, several X-ray microfocus beamlines worldwide are fully dedicated to the analysis of 3D protein crystals smaller than a few tens of micrometres (Smith et al., 2012). The relevance of nanocrystallography is driving some beamlines to achieve beam sizes below 1 µm in order to investigate even smaller protein crystals (Moukhametzianov et al., 2008; Owen et al., 2016). However, access for the scientific community to X-ray microfocus beamlines or to unconventional approaches such as X-ray free-electron lasers (XFELs; McNeil & Thompson, 2010) is strongly limited (Grimes et al., 2018), and therefore there is growing interest in the development of alternative approaches. In this regard, electronmicroscopy methods appear to be particularly promising. Cryo-EM imaging has rapidly become a widespread technique that is able to skip the crystallization step by directly imaging macromolecular complexes or potentially even single molecules of sufficient size (Amunts et al, 2014; Kühlbrandt, 2014; Cheng, 2015; Nogales & Scheres, 2015; Fernandez-Leiro & Scheres, 2016; Quentin & Raunser, 2018).

178 https://doi.org/10.1107/52052252518017657

OPEN d ACCESS

IUCr (2019). 6, 178-188





Stavros Nicolopoulos Alan Robins (NM) Daniel Bultreys (NM)

Joaquim Portillo (NM) Partha Das (NM) Alex Gomez (NM) Thanos Galanis (NM) Vrettos Stelliou (NM)

Edgar Rauch (CNRS) Muriel Veron (CNRS)



THANK YOU !!!

